

## REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BRACKEN COUNTY SHERIFF

Calendar Year 2000

# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE BRACKEN COUNTY SHERIFF

#### Calendar Year 2000

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Bracken County Sheriff's audit for calendar year 2000. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

#### **Financial Condition:**

Excess fees increased by \$1,712, from the prior calendar year. Revenues increased by \$6,791 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$5,079.

#### **Report Comments:**

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

CONTENTS	PAGE
001(121(12	11102

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	12
STATEMENT FERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13



## EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dwayne Jett, Bracken County Judge/Executive
Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff
Members of the Bracken County Fiscal Court

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Bracken County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2000. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for County Fee Officials</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statement is not intended to present financial position and results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2000, in conformity with the basis of accounting described above.

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued a report dated May 18, 2001, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dwayne Jett, Bracken County Judge/Executive
Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff
Members of the Bracken County Fiscal

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 18, 2001

## BRACKEN COUNTY MIKE NELSON, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

#### Calendar Year 2000

#### Receipts

State Fees For Services: Finance and Administration Cabinet KLEFPF Transporting Inmates	\$ 2,816 3,262 549	\$	6,627
Circuit Court Clerk:			
Sheriff Security Service	\$ 3,945		
Fines and Fees Collected	120		
Serving Papers	2,020		6,085
Fiscal Court			11,577
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes			1,084
Commission On Taxes Collected			76,017
Fees Collected For Services:			
Auto Inspections	\$ 2,015		
Accident and Police Reports	325		
Serving Papers	5,829		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	2,055		
10% Add on Fee	12,104		
Delinquent Tax Sale	360		
Miscellaneous	 74		22,762
Other:			
State Refund on Bank Shares	\$ 3,339		
State Refund on Sheriff's Bond	457		
Miscellaneous	 2,011		5,807
Interest Earned			1,526
Borrowed Money:			
State Advancement	\$ 43,007		
Bank Note	7,000	-	50,007
Total Receipts		\$	181,492

#### BRACKEN COUNTY MIKE NELSON, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES Calendar Year 2000 (Continued)

#### **Disbursements**

Personnel Services-			
Deputies' Gross Salaries	\$ 52,061		
Part-Time Gross Salaries	54		
KLEFPF Fund - Salaries	3,042		
Employee Benefits-			
Employer's Share Retirement	220		
Employer Paid Health Insurance	517		
Materials and Supplies-			
Office Materials and Supplies	1,200		
Uniforms	469		
Auto Expense-			
Gasoline and Repairs	7,938		
Reimbursement - County	1,000		
Miscellaneous	671		
Other Charges-			
Telephone	2,648		
Postage	1,133		
Dog Tags	258		
Jury Meals	89		
Convention - Seminar	140		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	1,655		
Reimbursement - County	700		
Miscellaneous	582		
Debt Service:			
State Advancement	43,007		
Notes	7,000		
Interest	326		
Total Disbursements		\$	124,710
Net Receipts		\$	56,782
Less: Statutory Maximum		·	55,070
		-	22,0.0
Excess Fees Due County for Calendar Year 2000		\$	1,712
Payments to County Treasurer - February 13, 2001			1,712
		\$	0
Balance Due at Completion of Audit		Ф	0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statement.

### BRACKEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2000

#### Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2000.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 7.28 percent.

BRACKEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2000 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 2000, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

#### Note 4. DARE Account

The Bracken County Sheriff opened a DARE Teaching Account, on December 11, 2000. The balance of this account as of December 31, 2000, is \$54.

#### COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

#### BRACKEN COUNTY MIKE NELSON, COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

Calendar Year 2000

N.T.			

None.

#### INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITIONS AND MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:

The Sheriff's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

We noted the lack of an adequate segregation of duties for the internal control structure and its operation that in our judgement is a reportable condition under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small size, and budget restrictions the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. Management has considered and rejected additional cost when setting budget limits on spending for salaries and therefore accepts the degree of risk for a lack of an adequate segregation of duties. However, the Auditor of Public Accounts has judged the lack of an adequate segregation of duties as a reportable condition.

Sheriff's Response:

None.



# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



## EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Dwayne Jett, Bracken County Judge/Executive
Honorable Mike Nelson, Bracken County Sheriff
Members of the Bracken County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Bracken County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2000, and have issued our report thereon dated May 18, 2001. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Bracken County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2000, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Bracken County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• The Sheriff's Office Lacks An Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable condition described above, to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 18, 2001